

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 ARNOLD ANDERSON,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 C. PASTUNA, *et al.*,

11 Defendants.

Case No. 2:17-cv-00808-APG-GWF

ORDER

12 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of All Due Dates
13 and to Re-Issue Orders (ECF No. 11), filed on September 4, 2018.

14 **BACKGROUND**

15 On March 17, 2017, Plaintiff filed an Application for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*.
16 ECF No. 1. On June 25, 2018, the Court granted Application for Leave to Proceed *In Forma*
17 *Pauperis* and screened his Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). ECF No. 3. The Court
18 recommended that Plaintiff's claims against Defendant District Attorney Binu Palal be dismissed
19 with prejudice due to Plaintiff's failure to state a claim for which relief can be granted. On August
20 3, 2018, the undersigned's recommendation was accepted and Plaintiff's claim against Defendant
21 Palal was dismissed with prejudice. *See* ECF No. 9. Further, the Court dismissed without
22 prejudice Plaintiff's claim against Defendants Pastuna, Auschwitz, Bryant, and Valenzuela, in
23 their official capacity, and granted Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint correcting noted
24 deficiencies by July 23, 2018.

25 The Court, however, allowed Plaintiff's claim for violation of his Fourth Amendment
26 rights based on a warrantless arrest issued without probable cause as to Defendants Pastuna,
27 Auschwitz, Bryant, and Valenzuela, in their individual capacity, to proceed. The Court instructed
28 the Clerk of the Court to issue summons to Defendants C. Pastuna, J. Auschwitz, K. Bryant, and

1 G. Valenzuela, deliver the summons to the U.S. Marshal for service, and to send the required
2 USM-285 forms to Plaintiff. Plaintiff had twenty (20) days to furnish the required USM-285 forms
3 to the U.S. Marshal and after receiving copies of the completed USM-285 forms from the U.S.
4 Marshal, Plaintiff had twenty (20) days to file a notice with the court identifying if Defendants
5 were served.

6 DISCUSSION

7 Plaintiff requests that the Court re-issue its orders with new deadlines because he did not
8 receive his mail containing the Court's orders. He requests that the Court extend the deadline to
9 file an amended complaint and to instruct the Clerk of the Court to re-send summons and the
10 required USM-285 forms. On July 12, 2018, Plaintiff's amended complaint was erroneously filed
11 as a new matter in Case No. 2:17-cv-01276-JAD-GWF. The Court instructed the Clerk of the
12 Court to file the erroneously filed complaint in this matter as Plaintiff's amended complaint to be
13 screened under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court, therefore, denies Plaintiff's request to extend
14 the amended complaint deadline as moot. The Court, however, grants Plaintiff's request to extend
15 time for service. Plaintiff shall have an additional 90 days to effectuate service. Accordingly,

16 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of All Due Dates and
17 to Re-Issue Orders (ECF No. 11) is **granted, in part, and denied, in part** according to the
18 provisions herein.

19 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall issue summons to
20 Defendants C. Pastuna, J. Auschwitz, K. Bryant, and G. Valenzuela named in the complaint and
21 deliver the summons to the U.S. Marshal for service. The Clerk of the Court shall send the required
22 USM-285 forms to Plaintiff. Plaintiff shall have twenty (20) days to furnish the required USM-
23 285 forms to the U.S. Marshal at 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 2058, Las Vegas, Nevada
24 89101. After Plaintiff receives copies of the completed USM-285 forms from the U.S. Marshal,
25 he has twenty (20) days to file a notice with the court identifying if Defendants were served. If
26 Plaintiff wishes to have the U.S. Marshal attempt service again on any unserved defendants, then

27 ...

28 ...

1 a motion must be filed with the court identifying the unserved defendant, specifying a more
2 detailed name and address and indicating whether some other manner of service should be used.

3 **NOTICE**

4 Under Local Rule IB 3-2, any objection to this Finding and Recommendation must be in
5 writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen (14) days. Appeals may be
6 waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S.
7 140, 142 (1985). Failure to file objections within the specified time or failure to properly address
8 and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or
9 appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157
10 (9th Cir. 1991); *Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).

11 Dated this 28th day of December, 2018.

12
13 
14 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28